COPYRIGHT ROYALTY TRIBUNAL 1 2 In the Matter of: 3 1982 Remand and 1983 Jukebox : Docket Nos. 83-2 and 4 84-283JD Distribution Proceedings : 5 6 (This volume contains pages 386 through 442) 7 8 9 1111 20th Street, Northwest 10 Room 458 Washington, D. C. 11 Thursday, October 3, 1985 12 13 The hearing in the above-entitled matter was 14 reconvened pursuant to adjournment at 3:00 p.m. 15 16 17 BEFORE: EDWARD W. RAY Chairman 18 Commissioner MARIO F. AGUERO 19 20 ROBERT CASSLER General Counsel 21 22 23 24 25

1	APPEARANCES:
2	ASCAP:
3	I. FRED KOENIGSBERG, ESQ. BEVERLY WILLETT, ESQ.
4	One Lincoln Plaza New York, New York 10023
5	BMI:
6	CHARLES T. DUNCAN, ESQ.
7	Reid & Priest 1111 19th Street, Northwest
8	Washington, D. C.
9	ACEMLA:
10	BRUCE C. EISEN, ESQ. Shrinsky, Weitzman & Eisen, P.C.
11	1120 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest Washington, D. C.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

С	0	N	T	\mathbf{E}	N	\mathbf{T}	S

2	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	TRIBUNAI
3	JOHN SLOATE	406		426	
4	By Mr. Eisen		411		
5	By Comm. Aguero	<u> </u>			425
6					
7	MICHAEL BRADY	429			
8	By Comm. Aguero				435
9	By Chairman Ray		*****		436
10	By Mr. Eisen		438		
11					
12					
13	EXHIBITS		Ī	DENT.	RECVD.
14	A/B/S 18-S Revised	Exam. of Esta LAM Attach. #		399	403
15					
16	Offer of Proof - 18	-X		405	
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
		NEAL R. GRO	SS		

(202) 234-4433

PROCEEDINGS ..

CHAIRMAN RAY: On the record, please.

(3:00 p.m.)

Before we start, I have a statement I would like to read into the record.

I have reviwed the transcript of the jukebox hearing held this past Monday, September 30, 1985, and I would like to make one correction and one clarification. On page 4, the transcript reads, "Today we begin the consolidated proceedings for the 1982 and 1983 Jukebox Distribution Proceedings."

That should be corrected to read, "Today we begin the consolidated proceedings for the 1982 (remand) and 1983 Jukebox Distribution Proceedings."

Next, on page 24 of the transcript, Mr. Eisen has raised his point that whether a jukebox is licensed, or unlicensed was not relevant to the proceedings. In response to Mr. Eisen, I said, "But we do agree that as to whether the jukeboxes are licensed, or unlicensed is immaterial. It is not relevant for our final determination, but to delete the entire paragraph it would, again, not provide us at least another look at even a minimum number of jukeboxes -- some information regarding jukeboxes."

I wish to clarify what I meant to say. First,
I intended to speak only for myself as Commissioner and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

not for the Tribunal. Therefore, any time the word "we" 1 was used in my exchange with Mr. Eisen, I meant to use the word "I". 3 Second, I did not intend to convey the impression 4 whether a jukebox is licensed or unlicensed is irrelevant 5 to the proceedings. What I intended to say was that pre-6 senting evidence to us that a particular jukebox in a 7 particular neighborhood is unlicensed does not necessary 8 rebut the argument of a party that its works have been 9 played on licensed jukeboxes, because these jukebox surveys 10 are being offered as representative of jukebox play 11 across America. 12 In addition, because actual information on 13 jukebox play has not been available to us, I intended to 14 say that I would have a very liberal policy on admitting 15 as much information as possible on any jukebox surveys, 16 whether licensed or unlicensed. 17 Are there any comments on those clarifications, 18 or changes in the record? 19 COMMISSIONER AGUERO: May I make a clarification, 20 Mr. Chairman? 21 On page 46 of the transcript of Monday, the 30th, 22 identified, instead of Oscar de Fontana the name should 23 be Jose Luis Rodriguez. Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN RAY: Today we will conclude the hearings

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

of the 1982 Remand -- consolidated 1982 Remand and the 1983 Jukebox Distribution Proceedings.

At the close of yesterday's hearings, the Tribunal admitted A/B/S Exhibit No. 17 and No. 18. There was a motion presented by Mr. Eisen to exclude A/B/S 18-X and the Tribunal did not rule on that motion. I don't have the transcript before me, I didn't receive it today. However, the Tribunal, after a brief recess, came back and suggested to the parties that if they felt it necessary, we would allocate another day for rebuttal, if this is to be admitted, if the motion is to be denied, for LAM to have an opportunity to rebut.

Without having the transcript available to me, it was my impression that all parties chose not to extend the hearing for another day, and all parties stated that it was not necessary to have a rebuttal period for this proceeding. But A/B/S agreed to bring witnesses today who actually did the survey in 18-X and give Mr. Eisen an opportunity to cross or rebut.

Because of the disagreement, the Tribunal denies the motion to exclude 18-X from the record, and will include it as part of the record.

Are there any comments from counsel, before we go further?

MR. EISEN: Yes, I had two preliminary matters.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1 First of all, in light of your ruling, Mr. Chairman, I am not -- we may be dealing with semantics, maybe not -- the 2 fact that A/B/S has brought these witnesses to Washington 3 pursuant to our discussion last evening, does not neces-4 sarily result in the fact that we are in a rebuttal session 5 at this point. 6 Rather I believe that although this has already 7 been admitted into evidence, I am simply going to test the 8 witnesses who performed the "survey", to find out exactly 9 what they did to accomplish that survey. Whether or not 10 it is rebuttal, I, frankly don't think it is. I think it 11 is simply a matter of testing the credibility and the 12 manner in which the survey was accomplished. 13 CHAIRMAN RAY: Yes, sir. 14 Any comments? 15 MR. KOENIGSBERG: Well, I have two comments, one 16 of which will go to -- Mr. Eisen said he had two comments, 17 I thought --18 MR. EISEN: Yes, I do have another comment, but 19 it is something that I wanted to raise preliminarily, 20 because I know if I don't, I am going to forget. 21 CHAIRMAN RAY: All right, go ahead, sir. 22 MR. EISEN: And what it does is tie up, or I 23 hope to tie up at least one end. 24 You will recall that early on in this proceeding

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	we raised questions about the status of Italian Book
2	Company, and a stipulation that was circulated amongst
3	counsel to see whether or not it could be resolved, at
4	least Italian Book Company status at this proceeding, by
5	virture of that stipulation.
6	I have had two discussions with Dennis Angel,
7	who represents Italian Book Company, and I have told Mr.
8	Angel, and I am prepared to state on the record today, that
9	ACEMLA will enter into such a stipulation, at least to
10	resolve that matter.
11	CHAIRMAN RAY: Very good. Thank you, sir.
12	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	I have two preliminary matters, too, and they
14	deal in part with the witnesses who are here today, and
15	in part with Exhibit 18-X.
16	I might say I am in complete agreement with Mr.
17	Eisen that this is not rebuttal, that he is testing the
18	credibility of these witnesses.
19	First of all, the field representatives who
20	performed this examination were three; their names are
21	John Sloate, S-1-o-a-t-e; Michael Brady; and Frank Robbins,
22	R-o-b-i-n-s.
23	Mr. Sloate and Mr. Brady are with us today. Mr.
24	Robbins would have been with us today, but for this fact,
25	his wife, he tells us, is expecting a baby quite literally

at any second. And we inquired of him as to whether he 1 could get away from New York, even for a few hours today, and sadly, the answer was no, he could not. 3 That being the case, we wanted to move forward 4 today and we, therefore, have Mr. Sloate and Mr. Brady 5 with us. And we trust that that will be satisfactory. 6 CHAIRMAN RAY: Mr. Eisen, any comments? 7 MR. EISEN: Yes, it is not satisfactory. 8 sympathize with Mr. Robbins -- is that the name of the 9 gentleman? 10 MR. KOENIGSBERG: Yes, sir. 11 MR. EISEN: I wouldn't want to come to Washington, 12 D. C. either to testify, when my wife was in the process 13 of giving birth. But to the extent that some of the 14 establishments were allegedly visited by Mr. Robbins, and 15 to the extent that we agreed to have this further session, 16 I must object to anything on Exhibit No. 18-X that was 17 compiled as a result of Mr. Robbins' own survey. 18 CHAIRMAN RAY: Only to that portion of the 19 exhibit that relates to Mr. Robbins' actual surveys? 20 MR. EISEN: Only to that part. 21 MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, I believe that 22 the entire -- all the information, including information 23 compiled by Mr. Robbins should go in. Indeed, you will 24 recall that we said yesterday that we did not think that 25

1 it was necessary to have any of these witnesses come down, 2 but we would do so, simply to wrap this matter up. The fact of the matter is, Mr. Robbins cannot 4 be with us today. 5 I believe that after you hear the testimony of 6 the two witnesses who you will hear today, you will have sufficient basis for concluding that the credibility of 7 8 that portion of this examination that was done by Mr. 9 Robbins is satisfactory for admissibility into the Tribunal's records. 10 11 I would suggest, therefore, that you withhold 12 a ruling on this motion, until after we have heard the testimony of these witnesses. I would further suggest to 13 you, sir, that if, in fact, that motion is made and the 14 testimony today is not satisfactory to the Tribunal, we 15 will undertake to come back another day with Mr. Robbins, 16 to put all of this evidence in, because we think it is 17 quite important. 18 CHAIRMAN RAY: Let me ask you a question. Is it 19 possible to itemize the locations that were visited by 20 Mr. Robbins? 21 MR. KOENIGSBERG: Yes, sir, it is. And we pro-22 pose to do -- indeed, we shall do just that during the 23 testimony today. 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Is it possible for you to give us

CHAIRMAN RAY:

1 that information, prior to the testimony? MR. KOENIGSBERG: I can give it to you right now, 2 3 yes, sir. Before I do, however, sir, let me get to the second point, and then I will do it before we put the 5 witness on the stand. 6 The second point is this, I said that we didn't 7 think that we had to bring these witnesses down, but I 8 also want to say that I am grateful for the opportunity 9 Indeed, I must say that I am grateful to Mr. to do so. 10 Eisen for raising the question, because it gave me an 11 opportunity to discuss these points with the witnesses, 12 and to check what they had done. 13 There were some additions and some corrections 14 to be made to that Exhibit 18-X. We have found that the 15 individuals who conducted this examination visited three 16 establishments of which we were not aware of when this 17 cross-examination exhibit had been prepared. We have 18 included those three on a revised exhibit. 19 We have also gained additional information about 20 certain of the other establishments that were visited from 21 our discussions with them. 22 And, therefore, Mr. Chairman, I would like to 23 put into the record A/B/S Exhibit 18-X Revised, to contain 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

this additional information to which these witnesses can

1 testify about. 2 Now, would you like me to specify what the changes are, between this exhibit and the Exhibit 18-X 3 4 that we put in yesterday? 5 CHAIRMAN RAY: Yes, and then I would like to hear comments from Mr. Eisen. 6 MR. KOENIGSBERG: Here are the changes: first, 7 up at the top on the first page, you note it says Results 8 of Examination 9-21, and in the exhibit yesterday it was 9-21 to 22. We have learned that -- I had been informed 10 that the examination was made on September 21st and 22nd. 11 12 I learned today that Mr. Brady conducted his on September 23rd. So, we have indicated that. 13 Secondly, the second location, Asia de Cuba, you 14 will see there is an addition there, it is now called 15 La Taza No. 2 Restaurant, instead Asia de Cuba. 16 Third, one of the establishments that -- one of 17 those three establishments that was visited, that was not 18 listed on the exhibit yesterday, which we have just learned 19 of, is called Cafe Andalucia. It did have a jukebox on 20 premises, the license status of that jukebox is unknown. 21 Therefore, we included it as the third listing. 22 Fifth, El Barin Restaurant, we listed that on the 23 previous exhibit as having no jukebox, we now know that 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

it is now known as Marie's Beauty Salon, and has no jukebox.

That's the fifth item, El Barin Restaurant.

Sixth, El Castillo Rojo, we have listed that in our previous exhibit as out of business. I am now informed that it is now occupied by the Rose Saigon Nightclub, which does have a jukebox, but on which jukebox are listed no Spanish songs.

Next, El Con-Con, this is one of the three establishments that was not listed on Exhibit 18-X. As you can see, El Con-Con is now called the El-Sa Restaurant, but it does not have a jukebox.

On the next item, El Deportivo, you will recall that the testimony yesterday was that none of the -- I don't know if it was the testimony, or an indication by me, but in any event, the record yesterday said that the jukeboxes that were listed as existing were unlicensed; so I have inserted the word "unlicensed" there, just so it is clear on the face of the exhibit.

If you go down two, to Raul's Cafe, this is a correction from what was put in the exhibit yesterday.

The exhibit yesterday indicated that it had no jukebox; indeed, I have learned that it does have a jukebox, it is an unlicensed jukebox on the premises.

The next listing, Oquendo Restaurant, we had listed it as having no jukebox; it has no jukebox, in fact, it is now a hardware store, and that is now indicated.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

	·
1	El Inca Restaurant, again, we have inserted the
2	word "unlicensed".
3	In Las Penas, we have again inserted the word
4	"unlicensed".
5	The third establishment that was not listed on
6	Exhibit 18-X that was inserted yesterday, but which we now
7	know was visited was Montero's Bar, that is the third from
8	the bottom, you can see it has a jukebox on premises and
9	the license status is unknown.
10	Those are the additions and corrections to
11	Exhibit 18-X.
12	Mr. Chairman, you have asked me to indicate which
13	of those places were visited by Mr. Robbins.
14	CHAIRMAN RAY: Right.
15	MR. KOENIGSBERG: And are the ones from El Inca
16	Restaurant, which you can see on the first page El Inca
17	Restaurant, every one following El Inca Restaurant to the
18	end of the exhibit were visited by Mr. Robbins; including
19	the ones on page 2 of Exhibit 18-X Revised.
20	(Whereupon, the document was marked for identification as A/B/S Exhibit
21	No. 18-X Revised)
22	COMMISSIONER AGUERO: Twelve altogether for Mr.
23	Robbins?
24	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Yes, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN RAY: You have an objection a motion.
	NEAL P. GROSS

1	Are there any other comments regarding the changes?
2	MR. EISEN: I don't want Mr. Koenigsberg to
3	throw his pen again, but there is a slangy legal expression
4	call "sandbagging". And I think that is what we are engag-
5	ing in right now.
6	We were presented with an exhibit that went into
7	the record last evening. I had made a motion to strike.
8	With that exhibit we did our own limited survey last even-
9	ing.
10	I think any additional information that comes
11	into the record this morning doesn't give us an opportunity
12	to provide any of our own information with regard to this
13	cross-examination, and I would object to it.
14	CHAIRMAN RAY: Now, let me ask again, on the
15	original 18-X exhibit that was put into the record, could
16	you give me the listings of Mr. Robbins?
17	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Yes, on original 18-X, let's
18	see, El Inca Restaurant; Leon Doro; Big Daddy; Las Pends;
19	Latin Castle; Manuel Morales Restaurant; Miramar Restaurant;
20	Restaurant Guayaquil; Quinteros; Aretama; and Salinas
21	Ecuador Restaurant.
22	CHAIRMAN RAY: Off the record for about five
23	minutes.
24	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, if I may, on the
25	record, I would like to respond to what Mr. Eisen said,
1	

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

NEAL R. GROSS

without throwing my pen. But I would like to respond to that slimy legal expression "sandbagging".

I take offense, personally, at that suggestion, and I take offense on behalf of my clients, professionally, as well. Personally, I take offense at it, and I will simply state to you that the Tribunal has known me for many years, and the suggestion that I would undertake such an activity is outrageous. And I will leave it to the good sense of the Tribunal, and their knowledge of me, as to whether I would do such a thing.

Now, second, going to the merits, such as they are, of that objection. I had not spoken with the representatives who had undertaken to do this examination before yesterday and this morning. Yesterday, I mean, after the session yesterday. I believe that exhibits that we present to the Tribunal should be accurate in every respect.

If I had not put in a revised Exhibit 18-X, I assure you I would have asked the witnesses while they were on the stand whether they had any changes or corrections to that exhibit, as I have done with every set of written testimony and exhibits that have been placed before the Tribunal by ASCAP, BMI and SESAC.

I don't think this is a question of "sandbagging" at all. To the degree that things were in error on Exhibit 18-X, we have freely come to you and told you that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

they were in error.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And if Mr. Eisen has evidence that they were in error in 18-X, errors other than the errors we have found, he is free to cross-examine the witnesses on those errors, if there are any.

But our belief is that the Tribunal should have the accurate information that exists, and to that extent, we have voluntarily come before you to tell you what the errors were, what additions there are, what we would elicit on direct examination of these witnesses.

Now, with that said, Mr. Chairman, I am done.

CHAIRMAN RAY: One last comment, Mr. Eisen, before we go off the record?

MR. EISEN: My objection still stands. think it is a slimy term, I don't think it is a particularly friendly term, but I don't think it is quite as bad as counsel indicated. I certainly didn't intend it to be slimy.

Also, I get the impression that A/B/S feels that in someway they are doing everyone here a great favor in bringing these people down, and for that reason, they should have some sort of dispensation. That is not the way I see it at all, and I don't think that is the way the Tribunal ruled last evening.

So, to the extent that there was any inference for

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

(202) 234-4433

que de la company	Mr. Koenigsberg that, in fact, this largesse that the
2	A/B/S people have given us, to bring these people down
3	entitles them to restructure exhibits that were offered
4	last evening, I don't think that helps one bit.
5	And my objection stands.
6	CHAIRMAN RAY: Thank you, sir.
7	Let's take five minutes.
8	(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
9	CHAIRMAN RAY: On the record.
10	In regard to the motion made by Mr. Eisen, the
11	Tribunal has made the following decisions: Number one,
12	A/B/S Exhibit No. 18-X as revised will be admitted into
13	the record with the following exception: the three new
14	locations that have been added to 18-X Revised would
15	you read those three locations, to make certain I have them?
16	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Yes, sir, they are Cafe
17	Andalucia; El Con-Con; and Montero's Bar.
18	CHAIRMAN RAY: Those three locations will be
19	deleted in their entirety from this exhibit.
20	MR. EISEN: I'm sorry, the third one was, what?
21	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Montero's Bar, the third from
22	the bottom on the first page.
23	(Whereupon, A/B/S Exhibit 18-X revised was received in evidence,
24	with stipulations.)
25	CHAIRMAN RAY: The Tribunal will also delete all
•	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	locations surveyed by Mr. Robbins. And, again, Mr.
2	Koenigsberg, would you be kind enough to read those loca-
3	tions?
4	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Yes, sir. They are El Inca
5	Restaurant; Leon de Oro; Big Daddy; Las Penas; Latin
6	Castle; Manuel Morales Restaurant; Miramar Restaurant;
7	Restaurant Guayaquil; Quinteros; Aretama; and Salinas
8	Ecuador Restaurant.
9	CHAIRMAN RAY: Thank you.
10	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, may I make two
11	points? First, I do note for the record that we have
12	offered on a subsequent date to bring Mr. Robbins down to
13	testify as to those places, and he will also bring down a
14	picture of his baby, which I presume will be born by then.
15	Does the Tribunal not wish to accept that offer?
16	CHAIRMAN RAY: Thank Mr. Robbins, but the
17	Tribunal has also determined that the proceedings will be
18	concluded today, there will be no other evidence taken.
19	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Very good, sir.
20	For the record then, I would simply like the
21	record to note that we are making an offer of proof as to
22	those excluded establishments that Mr. Robbins surveyed.
23	I do so, Mr. Chairman, in accordance with Section 301.51(g)
24	of the Tribunal's Rules of Evidence.
25	Let me read that rule to you, sir, to refresh

1 everyone's memory on it. "If the Chairman rejects or excludes proposed 2 oral testimony and an Offer of Proof is made, the Offer 3 of Proof shall consist of a statement of the substance of 4 the evidence, which is contended would have been adduced. 5 In the case of documentary or written evidence, a copy of 6 such evidence shall be marked for identification and shall 7 constitute the Offer of Proof." 8 Therefore, Mr. Chairman, I simply suggest that 9 that portion of Exhibit 18-X Revised which consists of 10 those establishments that I just read into the record, 11 be marked for identification as Offer of Proof 18-X and 12 will constitute the Offer of Proof, in accordance with the 13 Tribunal's rules. 14 MR. EISEN: If that is what the rules are, I 15 have no objection. 16 CHAIRMAN RAY: The record will so note. 17 (Whereupon, the document was marked 18 for identification as A/B/S Offer of Proof - 18X Revised) 19 CHAIRMAN RAY: Now, Mr. Koenigsberg, will you 20 please bring your witness forward? 21 MR. KOENIGSBERG: Happily, sir. Mr. Sloate, will 22 you take the stand? 23 Mr. Chairman, our first witness is John Sloate. 24 MR. EISEN: Mr. Chairman, I know you are anxious 25 NEAL R. GROSS

1	to swear the witness in, I would move at this time for the
2	sequestration of the second witness. I think that there
3	are clearly questions that can be raised, both on direct
4	examination and possibly on cross-examination which are
5	the kinds of questions that I think are more properly
6	resolved when one of these two witnesses is out of the
7	room.
8	I think I have a right to do that, pursuant to
9	Section 615 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, and I would
10	request you, therefore, to sequester the other witness.
11	CHAIRMAN RAY: Your request is accepted.
12	MR. EISEN: Thank you, sir.
13	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Then I should say that I have
14	no objection to it, if I had been asked.
15	CHAIRMAN RAY: Would you please ask the other
16	witness to leave the room?
17	Whereupon,
18	JOHN SLOATE
19	was called as a witness and, having first been duly sworn,
20	was examined and testified as follows:
21	CHAIRMAN RAY: Mr. Sloate, thank you for coming
22	all the way from New York to appear before us today.
23	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	Q	Would you state your name for the record, please?
2	A	John Sloate.
3	Q	Are you employed by ASCAP, sir?
4	A	Yes, I am.
5	Q	Would you state your position?
6	A	I am New York District Manager.
7	Q	Sir, do you have in front of you a document that
8	was put i	nto this case by ACEMLA entitled Partial List of
9	Some Estal	blishments in the New York City Area Which Have
10	a Jukebox	Performing Spanish-Lanuage Titles, Including
11	Some from	our Repertoire?
12	A	Yes, I do.
13	Q	Were you instructed to visit certain establish-
14	ments lis	ted on that partial list?
15	A	Yes, I was.
16	Q	Who gave you those instructions, sir?
17	A	A gentleman by the name of Bill Lee.
18	Ω	Would you tell the Tribunal who Mr. Lee is?
19	A	He is Mr. Barry Knittel's assistant.
20	Q	Now, what instructions were you given by Mr. Lee,
21	what were	you told to do?
22	A	I was to take the list and pick a random number
23	between 20	0-25 establishments, and have representatives
24	visit the	establishments to see whether they had jukeboxes,
25	and also,	to see whether to list the songs that were

1	actually on the jukebox, and also, whether they had a
2	Copyright Office certificate.
3	Q Now, who did you you say representatives,
4	who were the representatives who you had visit the estab-
5	lishments?
6	A Frank Robbins, Michael Brady and myself.
7	Q What establishments did you tell Mr. Robbins to
8	visit?
9	A Basically, all of the ones
10	MR. EISEN: Objection, it is irrelevant, you have
11	already stricken the ones that Mr. Robbins visited.
12	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, I am just trying
13	to give the Tribunal a complete picture of the instruction
14	that were given to Mr. Sloate, and that Mr. Sloate, in
15	turn, gave. It is part of the story
16	MR. EISEN: But the story, except on the Offer
17	of Proof, which really has nothing to do with the record,
18	isn't relevant. Mr. Robbins' establishments have been
19	deleted from this particular exhibit. There is no use in
20	going into Mr. Robbins visiting these establishments with
21	this witness.
22	CHAIRMAN RAY: Any more comments?
23	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Yes, sir, there is an Offer of
24	Proof, it may well be relevant to the record.
25	MR. EISEN: No, that is not the case.

1	CHAIRMAN RAY: The objection is sustained.
2	BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
3	Q Mr. Sloate, what establishments did you tell Mr.
4	Brady to visit?
5	A The establishments that were in the northern part
6	of Manhattan, which were in close proximity to where he
7	was based.
8	Q And what establishments did you, yourself, visit?
9	A Also establishments I live in Manhattan myself,
10	close by to my house, which is convenient to the basic
11	geographic area in the northern part of Manhattan.
12	Q Now, if you take ASCAP, BMI, SESAC Exhibit 18-X
13	Revised do you have a copy of it?
14	A Yes, I do.
15	Ω Would you tell the Tribunal which of the estab-
16	lishments indicated on that list you visited?
17	A (Perusing document) I, personally, visited
18	Alvarez Luncheonette; Asia de Cuba; Cafe Andalucia
19	Q That one has been stricken from the record. Let's
20	go to the next one.
21	A El Antojito Restaurant; El Barin Restaurant;
22	El Castillo Rojo; El Con-Con.
23	Q That one, too, has been stricken from the record.
24	Go ahead.
25	A And El Flamboyan.

(202) 234-4433

1	Ω	Did you know of any of these establishments
2	 before you	received that list?
3	A	No, I did not.
4	Q	In general terms, what did you do when you
5	visited th	ese establishments?
6	A	I went to the establishment, checked the address
7	and the na	me of the establishment, went in to visually see
8	whether th	ere was a jukebox on the premises, and then
9	noted that	in my findings.
10	Q	Can you describe the establishments that you
11	visited to	day for the Tribunal?
12		MR. EISEN: Objection. Again, what is the
13	relevance	of describing these places?
14		MR. KOENIGSBERG: I will withdraw the question,
15	Mr. Chairm	an. I am trying to be helpful, that's fine.
16		BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
17	Ŭ ,	To whom did you report your findings?
18	A '	To Mr. Bill Lee.
19	Q 1	Did Mr. Brady report his findings to Mr. Lee, or
20	to you?	
21	A 1	He reported them to me.
22	Q 1	Did you report Mr. Brady's findings to Mr. Lee,
23	as well?	
24	A :	Yes, I did.
25	Q I	Now, you have before you ASCAP, BMI, SESAC
·		NEAL R. GROSS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	Exhibit 18-X Revised, are your findings accurately stated
2	on that exhibit?
3	A Yes, they are.
4	Q With the exception of the places that have been
5	stricken from the record on Exhibit 18-X, did you visit
6	any of the other places on the partial list of establish-
7	ments in New York City, which has been furnished to the
8	Tribunal?
9	A No, I did not.
10	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, that concludes
11	my direct examination of this witness.
12	CHAIRMAN RAY: Commissioner Aguero?
13	COMMISSIONER AGUERO: No questions.
14	CHAIRMAN RAY: I have no questions.
15	Mr. Eisen.
16	MR. EİSEN: May I have just a moment?
17	CHAIRMAN RAY: Yes, you may.
18	MR. EISEN: Thank you.
19	(Pause)
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. EISEN:
22	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Sloate. My name is Bruce
23	Eisen.
24	Who visited El Deportivo?
25	A Michael Brady, I believe.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 PHODE ISLAND AVENUE N.W.

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	Ω	?	Where do you presently reside?
2	A		In Manhattan.
3	Q)	What is the address?
4	A	.	61 West 85th Street.
5	Q)	You testified that some of the establishments
6	you vi	.site	ed were in your neighborhood, is that correct?
7	A	.	Yes.
8	Q)	Were all of the establishments that you visited
9	in your neighborhood?		
10	A	<u>.</u>	No.
11	Q)	Which of the establishments were in your neighbor-
12	hood?		
13	A	L	El Antojito I am not sure exactly the addresse
14	of the	oth	ner ones.
15	Ω	!	So, is it your testimony that only El Antojito
16	Restau	.rant	was in your neighborhood?
17	A		No, I am not sure exactly what is considered my
18	neighb	orho	ood.
19	Q		Yes, I think that is a valid question, neighbor-
20	hood i	s a	pretty broad term. Had you ever seen any of
21	these	esta	blishments before when traveling in New York
22	City?		
23	A		No.
24	Q		Does that include the El Antojito Restaurant?
25	A		Yes.
- 1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	Q	You had never seen it?
2	A	No.
3	Q	You mentioned that you had received some instruc-
4	tions ini	tially from Mr. Lee, is that correct?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Did you receive any instructions initially from
7	Mr. Knitt	el?
8	A	No, I did not.
9	Q	I recognize that you testified that some of these
10	establish	ments were in your neighborhood, but do you know
11	how it wa	s decided which particular establishments you were
12	going to	visit, as opposed to Mr. Brady?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	Can you describe how that was decided?
15	A	I basically picked a geographical area in
16	Manhattan	where all of the establishments were easy to get
17	to, and be	asically at random.
18	Q	Do you have any information as to the manner in
19	which Mr.	Brady received his particular establishments?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And what is that?
22	A	I gave him the addresses of the establishments
23	which I wa	anted him to go to, over the phone.
24	Q	A/B/S Exhibit 18-X Revised states that the result
25	of this ex	camination it states the results of the
- !	l	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	examination, and it also shows that the survey, or the		
2	examination was conducted from September 21 to September		
3	23, 1985.		
4	Can you tell me the dates that you visited these		
5	establishments?		
6	A Myself was on a Saturday, which was the 21st.		
7	Q And do you know when Mr. Brady made his visits?		
8	A On the 23rd, which was a Monday.		
9	Q Your visits were on a Saturday, the ones you		
10	visited?		
11	A Yes.		
12	Q Did Mr. Lee indicate to you, prior to making		
13	these visits, what the purpose of your visits would be?		
14	A No.		
15	Q What exactly did he tell you?		
16	A Well, he didn't tell me really anything, except		
17	to go to the addresses and see whether there were jukeboxes,		
18	and there was no reason of why, exactly, they were why		
19	I was to go.		
20	Q Did you discuss this matter with Mr. Brady?		
21	A Only in giving him the addresses.		
22	Q Did he indicate to you that he knew the reason		
23	why you were making this trip?		
24	A No.		
25	Q So, in other words, Mr. Lee told you to visit		
	NEAL R. GROSS		

1	these establishments, Mr. Lee didn't tell you why you were
2	going, and you didn't inquire as to why you were going?
3	A We knew that we were going what we were going
4	to look for, but I didn't know why we were looking for
5	what we were looking for.
6	Q And, again, what is your position with ASCAP?
7	A New York District Manager.
8	Q Has Mr. Lee given you instructions on other
9	matters in the past?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You have not inquired in the past as to why you
12	were told to do a certain duty?
13	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, I will object
14	to that, I don't think it is of any relevance to this
15	MR. EISEN: I think it is relevant.
16	MR. KOENIGSBERG: excuse me, one more point
17	to this exhibit.
18	Go ahead.
19	MR. EISEN: I think to this witness's credibility,
20	if in the past he and Mr. Lee had discussions about pro-
21	jects that he was expected to execute, or implement, it is
22	important to know whether this is the only time that he
23	never received instructions and asked why he was told to
24	do something.
25	CHAIRMAN RAY: Objection overruled.

1	MR. EISEN: Thank you.
2	BY MR. EISEN:
3	Q The question is has Mr. Lee given you instruction
4	in the past?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And when he has given you instructions in the
7	past did you inquire as to why it was that he asked you to
8	perform certain duties?
9	A At times.
10	Q Have there been times in the past when Mr. Lee
11	told you to do things and, you, as district manager, didn't
12	inquire as to why you were being asked to do them?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And this was one of those times?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Did you know about this proceeding?
17	A No.
18	Q Did Mr. Lee tell you anything about ACEMLA,
19	A-C-E-M-L-A?
20	A No.
21	Q And you weren't interested enough to ask him why
22	it was that you had to go to these various jukeboxes and
23	establishments that you had never seen before to get
24	certain information?
25	A No.

1	Q Were the establishments that you visited on	
2	Saturday, the 21st, in Hispanic neighborhoods?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q How did you travel to these establishments?	
5	A By car.	
6	Q When you got to a particular establishment, what	
7	did you do with your car?	
8	A A couple of them, I parked at parking meters;	
9	one or two I double-parked the car and left it there. But	
10	most of the time it was parking meters.	
11	Q If you double-parked the car, you didn't intend	
12	to stay in any of these establishments very long, did you?	
13	A I intended to go into the establishment.	
14	Q You mean you went into an Hispanic neighborhood,	
15	to which you had never been before, double-parked your	
16	car to get the information that Mr. Lee expected you to	
17	get, and you intended to stay in these establishments for	
18	some period of time?	
19	A The establishments that I went into, that I	
20	double-parked in front of, were when I got up to them,	
21	it was obvious that they were very small, and that when	
22	I went in them, I was able to go through the whole estab-	
23	lishment very quickly.	
24	Q On Exhibit 18-X Revised which of the establishment	ເຮ
25	did you visit where you double-parked?	

1	A (Perusing document) Asia de Cuba; and I don't
2	remember which ones other ones.
3	Q Was there more than one?
4	A Yes, there was.
5	Q More than two?
6	A No.
7	Q So, it is your testimony that there were two
8	such establishments that you visited where you double-
9	parked your car?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You don't recall what the other establishment
12	was where you double-parked?
13	A No.
14	Q Did you leave the motor running?
15	A No, I did not.
16	Q Can you tell me what time of day it was when you
17	visited these various establishments?
18	A Some around noontime, and some later on in the
19	afternoon.
20	Q Would you refer to Exhibit 18-X Revised, and jus-
21	take a moment to reflect and see, to the best of your
22	recollection, you can recall for each one of those that
23	you did visit, what time of day it was?
24	A (Perusing document) The only one I remember
25	exactly was El Barin, which was around 2:00 or 3:00 o'cloc

1	1 in the afternoon.	
2	2 Q You said earlier, corre	ct me, if I am wrong,
3	3 that you visited one or more arou	nd 12:00 o'clock, is that
4	4 correct?	
5	5 A Yes.	
6	6 Q How many do you recall :	you visited around 12:00
7	7 o'clock?	
8	8 A I believe it was two.	
9	9 Q Two. That is in addition	on to El Barin?
10	0 A Yes.	
11	1 Q Were the establishments	crowded at noontime?
12	2 A Yes.	
13	Q Were all of the establis	shments that you visited
14	crowded?	
15	A No.	
16	Q You made this visit to e	each of these establish
17	ments by yourself, is that correct	:?
18	A Yes, I did.	•
19	Q And you testified on dir	ect examination that you
20	entered the establishments in ques	tion, is that right?
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Tell me exactly what you	did when you entered?
23	A I entered the establishm	ment, walked through the
24	whole establishment, looked around	for a jukebox, and that
25	was it, and then I left.	

1	Q Did anybody question you when you walked in?
2	A No.
3	Q Tell me what you did, in order to ascertain
4	whether there was a jukebox there? By that I mean this,
5	did you walk anywhere beyond the doorway?
6	A Yes, I did.
7	Q To where did you walk?
8	A To the far extremes of the establishment.
9	Q And did you have paper and pencil with you?
10	A No, I did not.
11	Q Did you take notes of any kind?
12	A Yes, I did.
13	Q When did you take the notes?
14	A When I got out into the car.
15	Q And what did you do when you got into the car
16	with regard to taking notes?
17	A Well, there really weren't many notes to take,
18	other than was there a jukebox, or not, which I was able
19	to remember.
20	Ω With regard to El Castillo Rojo, that is now
21	the Rose Saigon Nightclub, according to your testimony,
22	or according to this exhibit. What did you do with regard
23	to that jukebox?
24	Q I went into the establishment and first I saw
25	that it was called a different establishment, with a

1	different	name, so I went into the establishment to look
2	at the jul	kebox. And there was a jukebox there, but I was
3	instructed	d to ask if I could list the songs first, out of
4	courtesy.	And when I asked an employee they refused to
5	let me do	it.
6	Q	So, you didn't list any songs?
7	A	No, I did not.
8	Q	In none of the establishments that you went to?
9	A	That's right.
10	Q	Okay. How long did you stay in each of these
11	establish	ments?
12	A	Two-three minutes each.
13	Ω	And you didn't talk to anyone?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Did you look to see whether there were wall unit
16	jukeboxes	in the restaurants?
17	A	Yes.
18	Ω	You didn't find any?
19	A	No.
20	Q	You also looked to see whether or not there were
21	units that	were free-standing?
22	A	Yes, I did.
23	Q	How can you be sure that you looked in every area
24	of the par	rticular establishment that you went to?
25	A	I don't know how to answer that.

1	Q	You can't be sure, can you?
2	A	Other than going into the mens room.
3	Ω	Well, sometimes restaurants or bars take corners
4	and	
5	A	Well, most of the restaurants I was in were
6	basically	square, and I was able to see the whole area.
7	And I was	n't in the kitchen, so
8	Q	Can you be sure of that, though?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	That you saw the entire area?
11	A	Yes, I did.
12	Q	I would like to get this clear one more time,
13	when you	looked to see whether or not there was a jukebox
14	in the es	tablishment, your testimony is that you walked
15	into the	establishment, and you walked throughout the
16	establish	ment?
17	A	Yes.
18	Ω	Even in those establishments where you were
19	double-pa	rked?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Did you have an opportunity to talk to anyone
22	in these	establishments, to ask whether or not there had
23	been juke	boxes located there in the past?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Had you been instructed to do that?
		NFAL R GROSS

1	. A	No.
2	Q	And you didn't do it?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Similarly, you didn't ask specifically whether
5	or not ar	ny jukeboxes had been located in those establish-
6	ments in	1982 or 1983, is that correct?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Then you took the notes when you went back in
9	the car,	is that correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	It was Saturday, did you go back to your office
12	on Saturd	lay?
13	A	No, I did not.
14	ð	Was Monday the next day that you went back to
15	your offi	ce?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	And what did you do then with this information?
18	A	I then gave are you talking about my
19	informati	on, just mine?
20	Ď	Just yours.
21	A	I had it noted on the list that was given and
22	then I ga	ve it to my secretary, and then I gave it to Mr.
23	Lee.	
24	Q	Did you and Mr. Lee have any conversation at that
25	time?	

1	A No.
2	Q You just gave him the list?
3	A And he thanked me and that was it.
4	Q And, again, you didn't inquire into why you wer
5	sent to these establishments?
6	A No.
7	Q And he didn't offer to tell you?
8	A No.
9	Q El Castillo Rojo, on A/B/S Exhibit 18-X Revised
10	how did you learn that that particular restaurant had gon
11	out of business?
12	A By seeing that the name was different.
13	Q Oh, you didn't inquire of anyone as to whether
14	or not that restaurant had a different name in the past,
15	or different ownership in the past?
16	A No.
17	Q So, you don't know whether the same people owned
18	El Castillo Rojo as presently own Rose Saigon Nightclub?
19	A No.
20	Q Did Mr. Brady report to you the results of his
21	check of establishments?
22	A Yes, he did.
23	Q What did he tell you?
24	A He didn't tell me all he told me was that he
25	did what I told him to do, and he gave me his findings in
I	

1	writing.	
2		MR. EISEN: I have nothing further, thank you.
3		CHAIRMAN RAY: Commissioner.
4		EXAMINATION BY THE TRIBUNAL
5		BY COMMISSIONER AGUERO:
6	Q	Just one question. You checked six jukeboxes
7	total?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And in those six you didn't find any jukeboxes
10	in any of	those?
11	A	Except the ones that I noted that had jukeboxes.
12	Q	Which one is that?
13	A	El Castillo Rojo.
14	Q	That was the only one that had a jukebox?
15	A	And also
16	Q	In that place the nightclub didn't have any
17	titles in	Spanish?
18	A	Could you repeat that, again?
19	Q	El Castillo Rojo, out of business; now occupied
20	by Rose Sa	aigon Restaurant, had jukebox, but with no
21	Spanish so	ongs, no Spanish songs?
22	A	No.
23	Ω	Did you check the list on the jukebox?
24	A	I just looked at it quickly, they were mostly
25	they were	not Spanish songs.
	I	VEAL D. CDOCC

1	COMMISSIONER AGUERO: Okay, thank you very much.
2	That's all.
3	CHAIRMAN RAY: Mr. Koenigsberg.
4	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
7	Q Commissioner Aguero has taken away from of my
8	redirect, but, Mr. Sloate, just one or two questions. In
9	the course of your job, is it your responsibility to go
10	into public establishments to ascertain if they are per-
11	forming music?
12	MR. EISEN: Objection, relevance.
13	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Well, I am going to establish
14	the relevance, because Mr. Eisen has questioned Mr. Sloate
15	about why he didn't ask questions, and the method by which
16	he examined the establishment. And I am getting to that
17	point.
18	CHAIRMAN RAY: Any comments, Mr. Eisen?
19	MR. EISEN: No, my objection stands.
20	CHAIRMAN RAY: The objection is overruled.
21	BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
22	Q John, do you recall the question?
23	A Would you repeat it?
24	Q In the course of your job, is it your responsi-
25	bility to go into public establishments to ascertain if
}	NEAL R. GROSS

1	they are performing music?
2	A In my capacity, no.
3	Q Were you a field representative?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And when you were a field representative was it
6	your job to do that then?
7	A Yes, it was.
8	MR. EISEN: Objection. I'm sorry, I must raise
9	an objection on relevancy. Now we are talking of a time
10	when this witness wasn't even in the present role that he
11	fills at ASCAP.
12	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, I am getting to
13	the experience of this witness, and going into establish-
14	ments, and finding out what gives through those doors. And
15	that is a question that Mr. Eisen asked him, and I am
16	going to establish his competence to do that.
17	CHAIRMAN RAY: Overruled.
18	BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
19	Q When you were a field representative was it your
20	responsibility to go into establishments to ascertain
21	whether they were performing music publicly?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Do some establishments perform music publicly
24	by means of jukeboxes?
25	A Yes.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	Q As part of your responsibilities then, have you
2	been careful about ascertaining whether an establishment
3	has a jukebox, or not?
4	A Yes.
5	Q When you went into these establishments did you
6	look throughout the public areas of the establishments?
7	A Yes, I did.
8	Q Did you go anywhere but the public areas of thes
9	establishments?
10	A No, I didn't.
11	Q And you are satisfied that in each establishment
12	that you visited an examination of the entire public area
13	showed what the results that are given on Exhibit 18-X
14	Revised states, is that correct?
15	A Yes.
16	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Thank you. I have no further
17	questions, Mr. Chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN RAY: Mr. Sloate, thank you very much
19	for coming, and you are excused.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	(Whereupon, the witness was excused)
22	MR. KOENIGSBERG: I trust Mr. Sloate can now
23	remain in the room while Mr. Brady is on the stand?
24	CHAIRMAN RAY: Yes.
25	Off the record.

1	(Off the record)
2	CHAIRMAN RAY: Back on the record, please.
3	Whereupon,
4	MICHAEL BRADY
5	was called as a witness and, having first been duly sworn,
6	was examined and testified as follows:
7	CHAIRMAN RAY: First of all, we want to thank
8	you for coming down to testify before us today.
9	Mr. Koenigsberg.
10	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
13	Q Would you state your name for the record, please?
14	A Yes, my name is Michael J. Brady.
15	Q Are you employed by ASCAP?
16	A Yes, sir, I am.
17	Ω Would you state your position, please?
18	A Yes, I am a field representative in the New York
19	District Office.
20	Q Now, Mr. Brady, do you have before you a document
21	in this proceeding put in by ACEMLA captioned Partial
22	List of Some Establishments in the New York City Area
23	Which Have a Jukebox Performing Spanish Lanuage Titles,
24	Including Some from Our Repertoire?
25	A Yes, sir, I do.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	Q	Were you instructed to visit any of the establish
2	ments tha	t are included on that partial list?
3	A	Yes, I was.
4	Q	Who gave you those instructions?
5	A	Mr. John Sloate, the District Manager.
6	Q	What instructions did Mr. Sloate give you?
7	A	He gave me the names, the addresses of three
8	places, a	nd instructed me that I should go there, determine
9	if they h	ad a jukebox, if it was licensed, and if I could
10	obtain an	y song titles and performers from the jukebox.
11	Q	Do you have before you a copy of ASCAP, BMI, SESAC
12	Exhibit 1	8-X Revised?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	Can you tell the Tribunal which establishments
15	on that 1	ist you visited?
16	A	Yes, sir. The El Deportivo Restaurant; Raul's
17	Cafe; and	Oquendo Restaurant. I hope I am pronouncing that
18	correctly	Oquendo Restaurant.
19		COMMISSIONER AGUERO: Oquendo Restaurant.
20		BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
21	Q	Have you ever visited any of these establishments
22	before?	
23	A	No, I had not.
24	Q	Had you ever seen them before?
25	A	No, sir, I did not.
1	1	NEAL D. CDOCC

In general terms, what did you do when you visited 1 Q each one? 2 I first found the location, I went in there and 3 determined if, in fact, they had a jukebox; if they did, 4 I went to it to see if it had a copyright certificate, 5 and then I went to try to find the owner or manager of the 6 premises, to get permission to write down the names of the 7 songs and performers. 8 How did you get to each establishment? 9 I drove my car, first to the Oquendo Restaurant, Α 10 and I was not able to locate that. I went up and down the 11 street a few times, and then of course, I got out of my 12 car and walked up and down the street a few times and --13 Q Excuse me, where did you park your car? 14 Α On 181st Street. 15 Q By the curb, or did you double-park it? 16 Oh, no, I put money in the meter. Α 17 Q Continue with your story of what you did, please, 18 Mr. Brady. 19 Α Okay. I tried to locate Oquendo, as I said, I 20 was not able to at first, and then I noted the street 21 address and I noted that there was a hardware store there. 22 So, at that point, I called the office and spoke with Mr. 23 Sloate to make sure that I had the proper address that was 24 provided. And he said that that was the proper address. 25

So, I went into the hardware store and asked some of the employees if they knew of the Oquendo Restaurant, or had ever heard of it. None of them had, at least they said to me that they never had.

I went further, I went a few doors down, I believe it was to a Woolworth's, it was some kind of a variety store -- I am pretty sure it was a Woolworth's, and asked some of the employees there if they were familiar with the Oquendo Restaurant. They wouldn't give me any answer, they referred me to a manager, and the manager told me that as far as he knew, the restaurant had been out of business about a year.

- Q When did this occur, on what date?
- A That would be on the 23rd, I believe that was a Monday.
- Q You say you went to the Oquendo Restaurant first, where did you go from there?

A From there I went to El Deportivo, and I was having trouble locating that first because the address provided was not correct. I eventually stopped at a grocery store in the area where it was supposed to be, and asked the owner if he had ever heard of the restaurant, and he indicated that it was further down, and he gave me the location, said it would be near 169th Street.

So, I went there and did locate that restaurant.

1	Q	Can you describe the restaurant to the Tribunal?
2	A	Yes, I believe I can. As you are facing
3		MR. EISEN: I objected earlier to a similar
4	question,	and you sustained it.
5		MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, since the question
6	of this w	itness's credibility has been raised, I would like
7	the Tribu	nal to know the care that was taken and the degree
8	to which	he remembers what he did.
9		MR. EISEN: I had the very same credibility
10	questions	•
11		MR. KCENIGSBERG: That is why this witness is
12	here, Mr.	Chairman.
13		CHAIRMAN RAY: I know. Mr. Eisen, I believe in
14	the cross	of the other witness you mentioned the layout
15	of the	
16		MR. EISEN: I am going to withdraw my objection.
17	You are al	osolutely_right.
18		CHAIRMAN RAY: The layout of the location.
19		BY MR. KOENIGSBERG:
20	Ω	Before I get back to that question, where did you
21	park your	car for El Deportivo?
22	A	I believe I parked it across the street, in a
23	legal park	ing space.
24	Q	Now, describe El Portivo for the Tribunal.
25	A	All right, as you go towards the restaurant, the
		NEAL R. GROSS

entrance is on the right, there is a window on the left, 1 the entrance had a canopy coming out, and the "L" had been blacked out, it still said Deportivo. The neon sign in the 3 window -- there is a red neon sign that said Neuevo 4 Deportivo. As you go in the door, there is a cashier's 5 booth glassed in to the left, I believe there is a counter 6 on the right. There were chairs and tables through the 7 center and to the left. 8 And on the left wall was where the jukebox was 9 located. 10 You know, Mike, if you don't remember, you can 11 say "I don't remember". Now, after El Deportivo, where did 12 you go? 13 Α After El Deportivo, I went to Raul's Cafe. 14 And where did you park when you got to Raul's Q 15 Cafe? 16 Α I believe there -- that's a good one, I didn't 17 park on Dikeman Street, I believe I parked on a side street, 18 about a block or two away, but I can't be certain what 19 street that was, to be honest. 20 Q Can you describe Raul's Cafe? 21 I don't remember anything in particular about 22 the outside of the restaurant. I do know that in some way 23 it said Raul's Cafe, I was able to locate that. 24 as I recall, the cashier was to the left, there were chairs 25

1	and table	s, seated about 25 or 30 people. And the jukebox,
2	if I reca	ll, was against the back wall there.
3	Q	Now, to whom did you report your findings?
4	A	I reported those to Mr. John Sloate.
5	Q	Do you have any idea what Mr. Sloate did with
6	them?	
7	A	No, I don't.
8	Q	Did you ask Mr. Sloate why he wanted you to go
9	to these	places?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	What did he tell you?
12	A	He didn't know.
13	Q	Do you have before you Exhibit 18-X Revised?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Are your findings accurately stated for those
16	three est	ablishments on that exhibit?
17	A	(Perusing document) Yes, sir.
18	Q	Did you visit any other places on that partial
19	list of s	ome establishments that we referred to?
20	A	No, I did not.
21		MR. KOENIGSBERG: That's it for the direct, Mr.
22	Chairman.	
23		CHAIRMAN RAY: Commissioner?
24		EXAMINATION BY THE TRIBUNAL
25		BY COMMISSIONER AGUERO:
1		NEAL D. CDOCC

(202) 234-4433

1	Q Mr. Brady, when you went to Raul's Cafe, did you
2	check if the jukebox is unlicensed?
3	A Yes, I did, sir. It was not licensed.
4	Q You are sure of that?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q And what about the other one, El Deportivo?
7	A That was also unlicensed.
8	Q Did you check that?
9	A Yes, I did, sir.
10	Q Then you went to three places?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Mr. Sloate went to six place that's nine;
13	Mr. Robbin, ll; that's a total of 20, and the three you
14	went to is 23.
15	COMMISSIONER AGUERO: Thank you very much.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN RAY: I have a couple of questions.
18	BY CHAIRMAN RAY:
19	Q First of all, I like your style, make the boss
20	do most of the work.
21	(Laughter)
22	A Unfortunately, my real boss doesn't usually let
23	me get away with that.
24	Q All right. The question I want to ask you, on
25	El Deportivo, there was an unlicensed jukebox on the
	· NEAL D. CDOCC

premise. Did you note selection titles on the jukebox?		
A Yes, I did.		
Q What were they, were they primarily Hispanic, or		
A I would say they were primarily Spanish, there		
were only a handful of English-American titles that I can		
think of.		
Q Now, at Raul's, did you notice the selections?		
A Yes, I believe there, again, it was primarily		
Spanish selections. I am not sure of the mix there,		
because I just went over to the box, looked for the		
certificate, did a general perusal of the selections and		
then went to ask for permission.		
Q I notice that Mr. Sloate in his report of the		
Saigon Nightclub, said jukebox with no Spanish songs.		
When you made your report did you give the report to Mr.		
Sloate that there were primarily Spanish titles on the		
jukebox?		
A I don't believe that I made note of that,		
Commissioner.		
Q Were you asked to do that?		
A I had been asked to note specific titles and		
performers, and since I was not able to get permission to		
do that		
Q Oh, you asked permission to do that, and the		

1	permission was denied by the manager?		
2	A Yes well, not a manager, an employee I had		
3	asked, and I was told that I could not, unless I had		
4	permission from the manager, and the manager was not in,		
5	and would not be for about another three or four hours.		
6	Ω So that is why we do not have a report as to the		
7	selection pattern?		
8	A On that particular instance, yes.		
9	Q Those two particular instances?		
10	A No, on Deportivo I did make a list, on El		
11	Deportivo I did make a list of the		
12	Q It is not part of 18-X Revised, that's why I		
13	wondered.		
14	CHAIRMAN RAY: All right.		
15	Mr. Eisen.		
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
17	BY MR. EISEN:		
18	Q Just a couple of questions, Mr. Brady. My name		
19	is Bruce Eisen.		
20	A Hello.		
21	Q You learned that Oquendo no longer existed, is		
22	that correct?		
23	A Yes, sir.		
24	Q And you learned that it was out of business for		
25	approximately one year?		
	NEAL R. GROSS		

A	That's what the manager of the store that I spok	
with said	•	
Q	Did you ask him whether or not he knew if there	
was a jukebox on the premises, before it went out of		
business?		
A	No, I did not, sir.	
	MR. EISEN: That's all I have for this witness.	
Thank you.		
	CHAIRMAN RAY: Mr. Brady, thank you very much.	
You may be excused.		
	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
	CHAIRMAN RAY: Oh, I'm sorry, redirect.	
	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, I am right with	
you. He may be excused.		
	(Whereupon, the witness was excused)	
	MR. EISEN: I whispered to someone over my	
shoulder,	with that limited cross-examination having been	
concluded.		
	I would like to introduce from my office, Mr.	
Allen Moskowitz, who has not appeared before the Tribunal		
before.		
	CHAIRMAN RAY: Hello, Mr. Moskowitz.	
·	Are there any other comments before we adjourn	
the heari	ng?	
	MR. KOENIGSBERG: Mr. Chairman, it is traditional	
	with said Q was a juk business? A Thank you You may b shoulder, concluded Allen Mos before.	

at the conclusion of a proceeding for counsel to thank the 1 Tribunal for their patience with us. And although this 2 proceeding has been briefer than most, I know I speak for 3 Mr. Eisen and for Mr. Duncan, when I do give you our thanks. 5 CHAIRMAN RAY: Mr. Eisen. 6 MR. EISEN: I don't know if counsel speaks for 7 me. 8 (Laughter) 9 MR. EISEN: I would like to make a statement for 10 the record. Frankly, I didn't know what to expect before 11 I came to the Tribunal with this case. I thank you both 12 for your indulgence with me, not knowing the procedures 13 of the Tribunal before. 14 I also thank you for being courteous to me and 15 to Mr. Bernard, when he was on the witness stand. 16 of all, I would like to thank you for the fairness that 17 I think you showed to me, and to my client, when he 18 testified. I know that it is not a secret that this is 19 a somewhat embattled agency. I can only say that from my 20 one contact with it, that I was both impressed and 21 gratified with both of your responses. 22 Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN RAY: Thank you, sir. 24 COMMISSIONER AGUERO: Thank you very much. 25

1	CHAIRMAN RAY: Before we adjourn, I want to
2	remind all parties that the Proposed Findings of Fact and
3	Conclusions of Law are due to be filed with the CRT and
4	served on all parties by 5:00 p.m., October 17th, 1985.
5	Reply Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law shall be
6	filed with the CRT and all parties on October 24th, 1985.
7	Commissioner Aguero, do you have any
8	COMMISSIONER AGUERO: No, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN RAY: On that, we shall adjourn. Thank
10	you.
11	(Whereupon, the hearings in the above-entitled
12	matter was adjourned at 4:25 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

$\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of:

1982 Remand and 1983 Jukebox Distribution Proceedings

Before:

Copyright Royalty Tribunal

Date:

October 3, 1985

Place:

1111 20th Street, N.W.

Room 458

Washington, D.C.

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to type-writing.

PHYLLIS YOUNG

EXAMINATION OF 23 ESTABLISHMENTS LISTED IN LAM ATTACHMENT 12 WHICH LAM CLAIMS "HAVE A JUKE-BOX PERFORMING SPANISH LANG-UAGE TITLES, INCLUDING [LAM WORKS]"

Establishment	Results of Examination, 9/21-23/85
Alvarez Luncheonette	No jukebox
Asia de Cuba	Now called La Taza #2 Restaurant; no jukebox
Cafe Andalucia	Jukebox on premises, license status unknown
El Antojito Restaurant	No jukebox
El Barin Restaurant	Now Marie's Beauty Salon; no jukebox
El Castillo Rojo	Out of business; now occupied by Rose Saigon Nightclub, has juke-box with no Spanish songs
El Con-Con	Now called El-Sa Restaurant; no jukebox
El Deportivo	Different address, slightly different name, unlicensed juke-box on premises
El Flamboyan	No jukebox
Raul's Cafe	Unlicensed jukebox on premises
Oquendo Restaurant	Now a hardware store; no jukebox
El Inca Restaurant	Unlicensed jukebox on premises
Leon de Oro	No jukebox
Big Daddy	Unable to locate such an estab- lishment
Las Penas	Unlicensed jukebox on premises
Latin Castle	No jukebox
Manuel Morales Restaurant	No jukebox
Montero's Bar	Jukebox on premises, license status unknown
Miramar Restaurant Restaurant	No jukebox

Now a refrigerator and air con-

ditioning repair store

Restaurant Guayaquil

Establishment

Results of Examination, 9/21-22/85

Quinteros

Now a bank

Aretama

Out of business

Salinas Ecuador Restaurant

Now Iglesia de Dios Pentecostal Church